

**The following is an e-mail received from:**  
**Matt Kolb, President**  
**National Coatings Corporation**  
**11/03/03 @ 3:07 PM**  
**Addressed to:**  
**Bill Pennington, CEC**  
**Bryan Alcorn, CEC**

I wanted to follow up on a previous correspondence that if the aluminum coatings were allowed to have as a class of roof coatings to have a separate set of standards for the Performance Requirements in Table 118-C, that ACRYLIC coatings be bound by D6083 "Standard Specification for Liquid Applied Acrylic Coating Used in Roofing" with the remaining roof coatings, i.e., urethanes and silicones, etc. being bound by Table 118-C.

If by providing a deviation for Aluminum coatings as a class of coatings, it's only right to do so for Acrylics as a separate and distinct class of coatings for many of the same reasons Mr. Stannard has argued for having a separate class for his coatings.

Will the CEC be able to provide a similar exception for Acrylic Roof Coatings?

Recommended wording could be:

**EXCEPTION 2 to Section 118 (i) 3:** Acrylic roof coatings shall meet the requirements of ASTM D6083.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Matt Kolb, President  
National Coatings Corporation